

UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

RANDY J PRITZKER WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE WEXAMINER VARNER S ART UNIT PAPER NUM 3635	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR	<u>.</u>]	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
PM92/1221 RANDY J PRITZKER WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE PM92/1221 VARNER S ART UNIT PAPER NUM 3635	09/485,468	02/08/00	BIERWIRTH	•	F	P0720/7000
RANDY J PRITZKER WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE VARNER, S ART UNIT PAPER NUM 3635	•					EXAMINER
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE ARTUNIT PAPER NUM 3635 7	RANDY I PRI	T7KED	PM92/1221		VADAICI	D C
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE 3635 +			3			PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON MA 02210-2211 DATE MAILED:	600 ATLANTI	C AVENUE	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/485,468	BIERWIRTH, FRIEDHELM	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steve M Varner	3635	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2,6,7 and 29 is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3-5 and 8-28 is/are objected to.			
8)[Claims are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.	ected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)□ approved b)[disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	Application No	
* (Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. & 119(e).	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	

Application/Control Number: 09/485,468

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 9-12, 16, 18-21, 23-28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 9-12 have not been further treated on the merits. Claims 13-15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon multiple dependent claims which have been objected to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2, 6, 7 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kalpin.

Regarding claim 2, Kalpin shows a support point on a coupling element that is supported from the oscillating base by supporting elements. These supports are Virtual Pendulums. (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 6, Kalpin shows a plurality of supporting elements supporting the coupling element which are connected to the base. (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 7, Kalpin shows the support point for the object is positioned above the plane formed by the plurality of bearing points on the coupling element for the supporting elements. (Fig. 1)

Application/Control Number: 09/485,468

Art Unit: 3635

1 2 1/2

Regarding claim 29, Kalpin discloses a coupling element directly serving as a bearing. (Fig. 1)

Claim 1 is allowed.

Claim 3, 4, 5, 8, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 3 is allowable for the unsupported pendulum/supported pendulum combination required to elevate at one side and lower at the other side. Claim 4 is allowable for the two bearings. Claim 5 is allowable for two connections to the load support. Claim 8 is allowable for the one-axial bearing in the middle of its support element. Claim 22 is allowable for the two hanging pendulums with one standing pendulum.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Medeot et al. discloses a Load dissipating and limiting device.

Mori teaches an earthquake-proof foundation. Toyama teaches a support device.

Baratoff et al. discloses shock isolators.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve M Varner whose telephone number is 703 308-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D Friedman can be reached on 703 308-0839. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7687 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

December 6, 2000

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600